G. R. S. 58]

1-4 *Previous references.*

1923-4
(G. F.)

File No. G. F. 80-23

Serial Nos. 27, 28, 29
36 & 37.

Later references.

G.F. 104-25-1 to 5
G.F. 104. 25. 12 & 13
G.F. 135-29 (1-3)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

G.F. 156-29 (1-9)

Serial Nos. 5

P-35-E

Preserve.
5.11.63

Part B for March 1925

SUBJECT

Requests that a copy of the revised estimate of C & M. Station for the year 24-25 may be furnished to the Govt of Mysore for preparing their Budget Estimates for 25-26.

Sheo. 37. To The Comptroller to Govt

No. M 5301 d/ 28.3.25.

Forwards for needful action a copy of the letter No. 2702/78-1923 d/ 27.3.25 from the Secretary to the Hon'ble the British Resident in Mysore re Surplus Revenue of the C & M. Station Bangalore for the year 1923-24

3161

AP
9/4/25.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

G.R. File No. 80 of 1923.

Serial No.

No reply has been received from the Residency.

Subd: with a draft reminder for consideration.

LSRao 10/7 ~~[illegible]~~ H. Secy)

[illegible] 11/7

Please put up on 1.8.24 [illegible]

(24.7.24)

Subd: with a reminder as personally directed.

[illegible] 24/7/24

LSRao 24/7. H. Secy).

The official reminder has fetched no reply and I have therefore put up a draft Demi official reminder.

[illegible] 25/7/24

Dewan)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

CB 225.

CONFIDENTIAL.

The Residency,
Bangalore, 1st August 1924.

Subject.- Surplus Revenues of the Assigned Tract of Bangalore.

Copy of Confidential D.O. letter ~~dt~~ 1.8.24 from the Secy. to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, to the Chief Secy. to Govt. of Mysore

Dear Mr. Matthan,

I am desired to refer to the correspondence ending with Mr. M.N. Krishna Rao's letter No. Pol. 1131 dated the 6th June 1924, and to say that, in the event of the new scheme for the distribution of the surplus revenues being sanctioned, the Government of India are prepared to agree to refund the amount of Rs. 2,01,160-13-9 withheld from the surplus of 1922-23 under the orders of 1923; but they consider that in this event the new scheme should come into force in respect of the pro-forma accounts and surplus of 1923-24. I am to enquire whether the Durbar agree to this agreement.

Yours sincerely,
Sd/ A.N.L. Cater,

K. Matthan, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Bangalore.

COPY.

I have not [illegible]
the need [illegible] A.G. [illegible]
Please refer
Copy with Dewan
[illegible]

Original sent to
[illegible]
7/8

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

~~9.8~~ File No. 80 of 1923.

Serial No.

Reference.- Residency communication regarding payment of the balance of about Rs.2 lakhs still due for the year 1922-23.

The position taken up by the Government of India in this letter is wholly unintelligible. The proposal of the Government of India made in para 6 of the Residency letter dated 7th May 1923 was, in no sense of the term, a Settlement and we never agreed to it. On the other hand, we protested against the whole arrangement suggested in that letter and the compromise which is now pending ratification by the Government of India and the Secretary of State is the result of our protest. How, in the face of all these facts, a tentative proposal made by the Government of India at a particular stage of the negotiations, which has been clearly superseded by later developments and agreements, is considered as an order binding upon us, I fail to understand. Nor is this all; the Government of India desire to go further and add that if the balance of surplus of 1922-23 should be given to us, we should agree to have the new Settlement brought into force from 1923-24 instead of from 1924-25; in other words, we are required to agree to a material modification of the Settlement which is now pending ratification, to claim the balance of Rs.2 lakhs for

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

for 1922-23 which is due to us in the usual course and as a matter of right. It is difficult to understand how the Government of India have seriously put forward this proposal for our acceptance. The financial effect of the proposal will be this: For the two years 1922-23 and 1923-24 we have to get Rs.2 lakhs (balance of 1922-23) plus Rs.6 lakhs (taken in our Budget for 1924-25) = Rs.8 lakhs and instead of this amount, the Government of India offer us Rs.2 lakhs plus (¼th of Rs.6 lakhs which may be assumed to roughly represent the balance of divisible surplus after allowing for the datum line agreed to) = Rs.3½ lakhs! The object of the proposal is practically to give effect to the new Settlement from 1922-23 alone as a part of the balance of even that year should go to swell the sum to be spent on various measures for improving the Station.

We have several times told the Government of India that the proposal made by them in the Residenc letter dated 7th May 1923 has nothing to do with the final Settlement and that the following two conditions form an important part of the new Settlement:

1. "No part of the surplus to be set aside for forming a reserve for meeting future deficits
2. "The new scheme should be brought into force from the year 1924-25."

In

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

9.8. File No. 80 of 1923.

Serial No.

In spite of our repeated requests for the payment of the balance of the surplus for 1922-23, the Residency and the Government of India persist in with-holding it and go further in the same direction by making proposals of the kind contained in the present Residency communication. It is very unfair that they should prppose to go back upon decisions reached and agreed to by the Government of Mysore and the Resident. I find it difficult to understand that the Government of India would have any interest in assuming the attitude taken up in regard to the balance of the year 1922-23 and it seems to me that ~~tke~~ this attitude is the result of the interested action of the other party to the Settlement which apparently desires to go back upon it and is trying to introduce indirectly a modification of the Settlement, to its own advantage and to the detriment of Mysore.

Considering the stage which this case has reached, I think that there is no use in further negotiations by correspondence and that the best way of settling it is by personal discussion between ~~ta~~ the Dewan and the Resident.

The main file has been submitted to the Dewan in connection with the issue of a reminder proposed on this very subject. The references quoted in the

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

88. File No. 80 of 1923.

Serial No.

above note are taken from the printed collections.

~~DEWAN.)~~

Mull 4.8.24

Please put up a draft and
refer also to the Chief
Conservator —
"in the printed coll"

Y 5.8

Draft put up. As Mr. Capper's
letter is addressed to the Chief Secy
the reply may also go from
the Chief Secretary

[illegible] 6.8.24

Dewan)

To the Chief Secy
Kindly go thro' the
papers & have
the draft issued

Y

Please issue
after the C/S [illegible]
the draft
~~[illegible]~~
in [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible]
15/8

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

S.F. File No. 80 of 1923.

Serial
No.

Please put this back to me at once with full reports, enquiry with Mulcahy's letter of 6.6. 23

[illegible]
13/6

Please type [illegible] letter / D.S.H.

[illegible]
23/8/23

[illegible]
24/8.

Submitted to His Highness.

R U E Q P .

In continuation of my Submission Note dated the 25th March 1924, I beg to report that in reply to our letter of the 20th March 1924 on the subject of the surplus revenues of the Civil and Military Station for 1922-23, the Residency intimated that the Hon'ble the Resident had recommended our request for acceptance. In reply to a reminder sent on the 6th June, the Residency has now sent a confidential D.O. communication stating that, in the event of the new scheme being sanctioned, the Government of India are prepared to agree to refund the amount of Rs.2 lakhs and odd withheld from the surplus of 1922-23, but that they consider that in this event the new scheme should come into force from 1923-24 instead of from 1924-25.

Throughout the negotiations there was no idea on either side that a portion of the surplus of 1922-23 was to be withheld for building up a reserve. On the other hand the original reserve of Rs.5 lakhs withheld was to be handed over to us, and this has actually been done by adjustment towards the Subsidy for the last year. We have had no indication during all these months but that the details of the Settlement in its entirety were acceptable to the Government of India and that they were only awaiting ratification by the ~~Government of India~~ Secretary of State. It is not now open to them to propose that if the whole surplus of 1922-23 is to be paid over the new Settlement is to have effect from 1923-24, the financial effect of which, as explained in the Financial Secretary's Note is that we shall be worse off to the extent of about Rs.4½ lakhs. We cannot agree to this proposal.

Pages 3 to 6 of office Note

The position has been briefly explained to the Residency in a D.O. letter of this date, and I submit the papers for His Highness' gracious perusal.

N

Bangalore,)
25th August 1924.)

26. 8 24

25 AUG 1924

S.N

Confidential.

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

No 32
25-8-24

Subject.

Correspondence with the Residency on the subject of the payment of the surplus revenues of the Civil and Mili-tary Station for 1922-23.

235

Order.

KRW
30/9/24

30 SEP 1924

1/10/24

G.R.S. III—31

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for **permanent record.**]

9.6.80.23.

A letter was issued to the Residency regarding our protest against th withholding of two lakhs of rupees out of the Civil and Military Station surplus, and the file was, I believe, submitted to His Highness soon after. Ple let me know whether the file has been received back.

Supdt., Dewan's office)

5/7

Sub:

The papers were received back in Mysore and sent to the Financial Secretary.

5/11/24

Submit papers as the Dewan enquired about this

5/7

Dewan

[illegible] 14.

Copy of [illegible]

& endorsed

[illegible]

6.7.

N.B.—**Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form**

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

3.8. File No. 80 of 1923. 11

Serial No.

I think a reminder has to go and I have, accordingly, put up a draft.

The arrear amount of Rs.2 lakhs which we are claiming relates to the year 1922-23. The amount has therefore been with-held for nearly 20 months and this action of the Government of India, as we have several times pointed out, is very unreasonabl

It is time we were told what is due to us even for the next year 1923-24. This year closed more than 7 months back and the Pro-forma account of the Station for that year must have been made up by now. The first instalment of the Subsidy is payable on the 31st January 1925 and I think we ought to insist upon the amount due to us, both on account of the arrears of 1922-23 and of the surplu for 1923-24, being adjusted towards the Subsidy payment. The Government of India cannot expect us to pay what we owe them when, what they owe to us, they are with-holding. As the result of pressure which we applied, we succeeded last year in getting ~~xxx~~ adjusted, towards the January instalment of the Subsidy, the bulk of the surplus due for 1922-23 as also Rs.5 lakhs of the arrear surplus due to us under the Settlement. (Flag V.) We may adopt the same course this year. I have, accordingly, proposed, in the draft put up, that the surplus due to us f ~~in~~ the year ~~1924-25~~ 1923-24 as also the arrear sum of Rs.2 lakhs with-held out of the surplus of 1922-23 might

Serial No.	

might be paid to us before the January instalment of the Subsidy falls due. If they propose to adjust the amount against the Subsidy instalment, we may agree to the arrangement.

DEWAN.)

Draft app[rove]d as revised

May issue.

11.11.24

D.S. for H.C. if

12/11

No: Pol: 391 dated 12.11.24.

To The Secretary to the Resident in Mysore.

12/11/24

Issued and D.S.'s posting

12.11.24

Dewan.)

13.11

Submitted to His Highness.

Page 8 of office Note

In my Submission Note dated 25th August 1924, I reported the issue of another reminder to the Residency pressing for the payment, without further delay, of the arrear of about Rs.2 lakhs still due towards the surplus of the Civil & Military Station for the year 1922-23. No reply has yet been received to this communication.. As we have explained in several communications on this subject, our claim is a very clear one and it is not easy to understand why there should be delay or difficulty in settling it. It is also time now for us to know what is the surplus of the Station for the year 1923-24 to be paid to us in the current year. Between these two claims, a sum of about Rs.8 lakhs is due to us by the Government of India and we have to arrange for getting this amount as early as possible. The first instalment of the Subsidy has to be paid in January next, and if possible, it is necessary to utilise for meeting this demand the amount which the Government of India owe to us. Accordingly a communication has been addressed to the Residency requesting them to obtain the orders of the Government of India for the early payment of the amounts due for the years 1922-23 and 1923-24 so that we may meet part of the demand on account of the Subsidy falling due in January next from this source. I report these facts for the gracious information of His Highness.

Bangalore,)
13th November 1924.)

13 NOV 1924

SGN.

2067

Confidential

1A

Record of Cases submitted for the Order of His Highness the Maharaja

No. 252
13-11-24

Subject.

Copy of letter to the Residency regarding the early payment of the amounts due for the years 1922-23 and 1923-24 on account of the surplus revenues of the Civil & Military Station, Bangalore.

330

Order.

KRW
14/11/24
9

Hsecy
up

27 NOV 1924

Please give this to me on 8th Dec' 24

28/11/24

Pl: D. 2786 4 3

B193

Serial No.

Please put up the reasoned statement of the case so as to reach me not later than Monday morning to show that the arrangement is not according t the understanding arrived at. I am discussing this matter with the Resident at 12 noon that day.

Financial Secy's Note B 31-1.25

page 24, Vol. ~~IV~~ V

In the Memorandum dated 3rd November 1923 are specified the detailed conditions of the new Settlement. One of these conditions is the following: "the new Scheme should be brought into force from the year 1924-25".

,, 26 (6) ,,

The Settlement is for the division of surplus and accordingly, when it has to be brought into effect from the year 1924-25, the only natural construction to be placed upon this condition is that the surplus of the year 1924-25 should be divided between the State and the Station and not the surplus of the previous year payable to us in 1924-25.

,,

In the Residency letter No. 812 dated 25th January 1924, it was stated that the Government of India had agreed "to accept the Mysore share of the surplus ~~x~~ revenues of the Station for the year 1922-23". This statement created the misgiving in our minds that the Residency wanted to give effect to the new Settlement with effect from the surplus for the year 1922-23 payable to

Serial No.

us in 1923-24. That this was not the intention of the new Settlement was pointed out by us in our letter ✗No.700 dated 26th January 1924. We stated in this letter that the intention was that "the principle of apportionment of the surplus should be brought into force from 1924-25". We made it quite clear in this statement that it was the surplus of 1924-25 that had to be brought under the new arrangement. In para 2 of the Residency reply ✗No.1412 dated 12th February 1924, our view was accepted. In the communication ✗(No.387 dated 16th January 1925) conveying the Secretary of State's approval to the Settlement, there are two statements relating to this point: (1) item No.(7) in para 2, and, (2) the allusion to "the Mysore Government's share of the surplus for 1923-24 in para 5. The first statement is all right, if it is ~~xadxxx~~ read as referring to the surplus for the year 1924-25. But the second statement distinctly refers to our share of the surplus for 1923-24. If the surplus of 1923-24 is to be disposed of under the old settlement, there is no question of dividing it between the Station and the State, as the whole of it has to be handed over to us. It is the allusion to the Mysore Government's share of the surplus for 1923-24 which has now created doubts in regard to the year from which the arrangement is proposed to be brought into effect.

page 39, Vol. V.
,, 40 ,,
,, 47 ,,
47
48

✗ The latest communication on this subject is the Residency letter dated 29th January 1925.

R.

Here

Rl: D. 2786

Serial No.

Here, in furnishing the information ~~to us~~ in regard to the amount of surplus we may expect in our year 1925-26, it is stated that "the datum line scheme has come into force with effect from 1924-25". If, as stated in this letter, the first surplus to be brought under the scheme of division is that for the year 1924-25, we have no quarrel with the new Settlement. If this is the position, there was no occasion to refer in the Residency letter dated 16th January 1925 "to the Mysore Government's share of the surplus for 1923-24".

page 47, Vol. V.

I

The conflicting statements found in the Residency communications dated 16th and 29th January 1925, show that there is some mis-conception somewhere. If the first surplus to be divided is that for 1924-25 -- this is the view expressed in para 2 of the Residency letter No. 788 dated 29th January 1925 -- the Settlement is in accordance with the intention of the Memorandum dated 3rd November 1923, and no further action is called for except that of finding out whether what was paid to us towards the adjustment of the subsidy paid this month, is only three-fourths share of the surplus of 1923-24 or the whole of the surplus. If only three-fourth share has been paid, we have to get the balance of one-fourth.

,, 47 ,,

R

,, 24 ,,

II

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

If

Serial No.

DEWAN'S OFFICE
5 . 1925
5687

If, on the other hand, the Settlement has ~~bee~~ been brought into force commencing with the surplus for 1923-24, then we have to represent that that was not the intention as explained above and that the whole of the surplus due for that year should be handed over to us, the new Settlement being introduced only with effect from the surplus for the Station year 1924-25, payable to us in our financial year 1925-26.

31-1-25

DEWAN.)

Please see extract from the notes of Dewan's discussion with the British Resident on 2nd February 1925, regarding the C.& M.S. Surplus.

(Put up herewith.)

By order,

5.2.25

Private Secy., to the Dewan.
5th Feby. 1925.

Draft of further enquiry in regard to the Surplus for 1923 ... is put up

Dewan

6/2/25

Draft approved

Sanctioned

7 FEB 1925

Done

7-2-25

This may now be filed : Printing is not necessary

8/4

Extract from the Notes of the Dewan's discussion with the British Resident on 2nd February 1925, at 12 noon.

* * * * * *

Civil & Military Station Surplus.

The Residency letter of the 16th January 1925 refers to the Mysore Government share of surplus for the year 1923-24. As this raises a doubt as to when this new scheme is to come into force, I brought the matter to the notice of the Resident referring specifically to my memorandum of 3rd November 1923 wherein it was definitely stated that the new scheme should be brought into force from the year 1924-25. But a subsequent letter of the Residency dated 29th January 1925 makes the point clearer inasmuch as it states that the datum line scheme has come into force with effect from 1924-25. This leaves no doubt that the full surplus ~~ka~~ that has accrued in the administration of the tract during 1923-24 has to be paid to us without any deduction.

In a letter of the 21st January, it was intimated to us that approximately a sum of Rs.4 lakhs from the surplus would be adjusted against the first instalment of the Subsidy besides the amount kept in reserve in 1922-23 and 1923-24 to the extent of 3 lakhs. (Please see page 49 of the printed correspondence separately submitted.)

The Resident said that the intention is that the whole of the surplus of 1923-24 will be paid, and as on this point he agreed with the views I expressed, there was no necessity to further discuss the matter. We shall have now to ascertain the actual surplus for 1923-24.

Serial No.

K page 26.

it is not necessary to discuss this part of the proposal. The more important point to be considered is the year from which the new settlement should be brought into force. It has been distinctly stated in the Memorandum sent to the Resident that the new scheme should be brought into force from the year 1924-25. This condition can ~~possibly~~ bear only one interpretation. The ~~statement~~ Settlement relates to the division of the surplus and when it ~~is~~ stated that it should be brought into force from 1924-25, it can only mean that it is the surplus of that year which should be divided between the two parties and not that of the previous year. It was not the intention of either party to bring the new arrangement into operation commencing with the surplus for 1923-24. The only ground on which the Government of India can defend their view is that the surplus of the year 1923-24 is paid to us in the year 1924-25, but, it is obvious that it is not the year of payment that matters but it is the year the surplus of which has to be shared. It will thus be seen that even the present communication does not set at rest the controversy which has been going on endlessly and it is necessary for us again to represent that in respect of the year from which the new arrangement should be brought into effect, the original intention should be adhered to and not departed from as proposed now. We have once before referred to this point in our letter of 25th August 1924.

L.

Serial No.

In so far as the order now communicated has reference to the payment of the Subsidy to be made this month, it is clear only in respect of one point, viz., that the with-held balance of Rs.2 lakhs relating to the year 1922-23 will be allowed to be adjusted towards the Subsidy. As regards the surplus due for the year 1923-24 it is left in doubt in respect of two points: (1) the amount of surplus -- even an approximate amount -- is not specified; and (2) as already observed, ~~[illegible]~~ what is proposed to be given to us is apparently not the full surplus of the Pro-forma Account but, only ⅗ths of the calculated surplus after allowing for a datum line of Rs.13 lakhs. We are thus left in doubt as to what is the amount which we can have adjusted towards the Subsidy payable this month. We have therefore to ask the Residency to tell us definitely what amount will now be allowed to be set off against the Subsidy payable this month. If the amount thus adjusted is less than what is due to us according to our contention, we shall have to raise the point separately and have it decided.

As regards what is stated in para 3 of the letter, I do not offer any remarks except that it seems to me that it is graceless on the part of the Government of India even then ~~to~~ conceding our claim ~~and still~~ 5
say that ~~the~~ its admission ~~of our claim~~ is "purely an act of grace." We know that the Government of India is not the authority to admit a claim if it is not the soundest and strongest.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

What is stated in para 4 also does not call for

M

Serial No.

call for remarks as our view that what was stated by the Government of India in their letter* dated 7th May 1923 was no more than a tentative proposal made at one of the intermediate stages of the discussion, has in fact been accepted by admitting our claim to the with-held surplus of Rs.2 lakhs of the year 1922-23.

The point on which an immediate reference to the Residency is now called for is about the amount which will be permitted to be adjusted towards the first instalment of the Subsidy payable this month. I have ~~accordingly~~ put up a draft about it. Two other points viz., (1) that relating to the year from which the new settlement should be brought into force and (2) that raised in para 3 , are very important on which a subsequent reference has to be made.

17-1-25

D~~EWAN~~.)

The draft may issue. A D.S.N. may be put up for His Highness' information. The other points raised in the Financial Secretary's note will be considered ~~and a~~ ~~concurrently~~ and a draft submitted for H.H's ~~consideration~~ approval.

19 JAN 1925

Today

Issue and return -

19-1-25

D S N put up

19-1-25

Dewan)

Issued & returned 19/1/25

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Submitted to His Highness.

I beg to submit, for the gracious information of His Highness, Residency letter dated 16th January 1925 communicating the approval of the Secretary of State to the new settlement for the division between the State and the Station, of the surplus revenues of the latter.

What amount on account of the surplus of the year 1923-24 will be permitted to be adjusted against the first instalment of the Subsidy payable this month, is not clear from paragraph 5 of the letter, and the Residency has accordingly been addressed for furnishing information on this point.

The observations contained in paragraph 3 of the letter and the year from which it is proposed to bring the new settlement into force, are matters which require further consideration, and a draft about them will be submitted for His Highness' approval in due course.

Bangalore,)
20th January, 1925.)

ARBanerji
20 JAN 1925

SGN.

Confidential

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

No 374
20-1-25

Residency letter dated 16th January 1925 communicating the approval of the Secretary of State to the new settlement for the division of the Civil & Military Station surplus revenues.

475

Order.

KRW
22/1/25

Finl: Seq.

25 JAN 1925

Ilah
26.1.25

D 2608
x

B193

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. of 19 .

Serial No.

The Revised Estimate of the Civil & Military Station for the year 1924-25 should be ready by now and it is necessary for us to obtain a copy of it with a view to find out what is likely to be the probable surplus pay~~y~~able to us in 1925-26 A draft to the Residency is accordingly put up and it may be approved;

There has been considerable delay on the part of the Government of India and the Secretary of State in ratifying the understanding arrived at between the Mysore Government and the Resident and in view of it, I think we may urge that it will not be convenient to us if it is given effect to from 1924-25. We should have adequate notice of the altered arrangement so that we may be prepared to adjust our finances to the reduction resulting from the introduction of the new settlement. I have referred to this point in my to-day note on the forecast of the financial position in the next year.

DEWAN.

17.1.25

P.S.- Since writing the above, I have received the Residency communication of today about the Civil & Military Station surplus settlement. In view of what is stated in it, we cannot propose to defer bringing it into force beyond 1924-25. On the other hand as this communication now stands, the other party wants to bring ~~it~~ the new arrangement into effect from 1923-24.

Draft [illegible]

19 JAN 1925

The draft may be app

19.1.25

19.1.25

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Do The Secy. to the Resident

No. Pol 595 D 19 1/25

requests that a copy of the Revised Estimate of C & M Station for the year 24-25 may be furnished to the Govt of Mysore for preparing their Budget Estimates for 25-26.

Sns after file Regr.

From the Secretary to the Resident

No 788. dated. 29th January 1925
613.1899

States that the revised estimates of the C & M Station B'lore for 24-25 will be sent as soon as it is received from the Govt of India and that as the datum line scheme has come into force with effect from 24-25. States that the figures furnished will suffice for budget purposes —

Subs with previous papers.

29 1/25

The ~~#~~ figure now furnished in the Residency letter will be provisionally adopted for the next year's Budget ~~pending~~ subject to such revision as may be found necessary on receipt of the Revised estimate figures — The Comptr will, if approved, be informed accordingly.

30/1/25

Dewan)

DEW...
RECD. 30. JAN. 1925
Reg. No. 5485

For information

It would appear from what is stated in para 2 that the New ~~Supplem~~ Settlement is brought into effect from 24-25 i.e. the surplus of 24-25. In any case we may wait & see after the full calculation [illegible] 207.25 for the amt paid by adjust against subsidy are recd

30/1

Submitted to His Highness.

M

L

In continuation of my Submission Note dated the 20th January 1925 relating to the surplus revenues of the Civil & Military Station, I beg to report that the Residency reply (No.528/606-1899, dated the 21st January 1925) to our letter dated the 19th instant was received yesterday. This communication informs us that the amount due for the year 1923-24 is Rs.4,98,839-2-3. It does not, however, state whether this sum represents the total surplus of the pro forma account for the year or only a share of the surplus, and how it has been calculated.

As in last year, we have succeeded by persistent action in forcing the Government of India to adjust against the January instalment of the subsidy not only the surplus due for the year 1923-24 but also the arrear balance of about Rs.2 lakhs relating to the year 1922-23 which had been held up. January is a month of very low balances for our Treasury and the realisation of a sum of 7 lakhs on account of the arrear and current dues of the Civil & Military Station has contributed to ease the cash position to a considerable extent.

I beg to report the above facts for the gracious information of His Highness.

Bangalore,
23rd January 1925.

23 JAN 1925

SGN.

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

No 380
23-1-25

477

Subject.

Residency letter dated 21st January 1925 intimating that a sum of approximately Rs.4,00,000 from the surplus revenues of the Civil & Military Station for 1923-24 will be adjusted against the first instalment of the subsidy payable in the current month, in addition to the reserve fund of Rs.3 lakhs.

Order.

KRW

24/1/25

Finl: Secy:

25 [illegible] 1925

26-1-25

~~G.F. 80-23-27~~

Letter No: Pol. 595/ d/19.1.1925 from the Financial Secretary to the Govt: of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, to

~~G.F. 80-23-27~~

~~Draft.~~

Pol. 595 D/19 1/25

The Secretary to the Hon'ble
the British Resident in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to request you to be so good as to furnish for the information of the Mysore Government a copy of the Revised Estimate of the Civil & Military Station for the year 1924-25 which, it is believed, has been framed by now. It is required for preparing the Budget Estimates of the Mysore Government for the year 1925-26.

Draft approved
[illegible]

19 JAN 1925

I have etc.,

17.1.25

Financial Secretary to Govt.

G-7-80-23-28

DIARY NO. 2786 (1)
M

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary to the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore, by designation and not by name.

No. 788-
613-1899.

Enc. 19 — 19

Section.

F.R. No. 893

FROM

A. N. L. Cater, Esq., I.C.S.,
SECRETARY TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Financial Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 29th January 1925.

Subject.

REVISED ESTIMATES OF THE CIVIL & MILITARY STATION, BANGALORE FOR THE YEAR 1924-25.

Letter No. 788/613-1899 dated 29.1.1925 from The Secretary to The Hon'ble The British Resident in Mysore, to The Financial Secretary to The Government of His Highness The Maharaja of Mysore.

~~SIR,~~

In reply to your letter No.595/F-Pol., dated the 19th January 1925, I am directed to state that the revised estimates of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore for the year 1924-25 will be forwarded by the Government of India only in May 1925 along with the budget estimates of 1925-26. A copy of the estimates will be sent to you as soon as it is received in this office.

2. As the datum line scheme has come into force with effect from 1924-25, it appears that the figures given below will be sufficient for the preparation of the budget estimates of the Mysore Government for the

year

9

year 1925-26:-

Total Revenue of the Civil & Military Station, Bangalore including 1/3rd of income-tax receipts of Residency for 1924-25 (based on the revised figures).	Datum Line.	Surplus.	¾ths to Mysore Government.
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
18,78,000	13,00,000	5,78,000	4,33,500

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

SECRETARY TO THE RESIDENT.

Pol. 664 D/ 7/2/25
G.7. 80-23-29

~~Draft.~~

Letter No: Pol 664 dated 7. 2. 1925 from the Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

to The Secretary to the
Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore,
BANGALORE.

~~Sir,~~

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 788 dated 29th January 1925 furnishing information in regard to the Revised estimate of the Civil & Military Station for 1924-25.

2. As the datum line scheme comes into effect commencing with the surplus for 1924-25, the whole of the surplus of the pro forma account of the Station for the year 1923-24 is payable to the Mysore Government and I am to request you to be so good as to state whether the sum of ₨ 4,98,839-2-3 allowed, in your letter No. 528 dated 21st January ~~192~~ 1925, to be adjusted against the subsidy instalment paid last month, represents the total surplus for 1923-24 or only "the Mysore Government's share of the surplus for 1923-24" as stated in para 5 of your letter No.387 dated 16th January 1925. In the latter case, I am to request that the balance

still

still due may kindly ~~be~~ be arranged to be paid as early as possible.

I have, etc.,

6/2/25

Financial Secretary to Govt.

Issue at once

7/2

9

7/2

16

97.80-23.36

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary to the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore by designation and not by name.

URGENT.

No. 2702 Enc.
78-1923.

3613
X

1

FROM

Major K. A. G. Evans Gordon,
SECRETARY TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

Pol. 1115

GENL. & G. D. SECTION
28 MAR. 1925

TO

The Financial Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 27th March 1925.

Subject.

SURPLUS REVENUE OF THE CIVIL & MILITARY STATION, BANGALORE FOR THE YEAR 1923-24.

Letter No: 2702 |78-1923 dated 27.3.1925 from The Secretary to the Hon'ble the British Resident in Mysore, to the Financial Secretary to the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

H. Secy
For information
Dewan)

28/3/25

SIR,

In continuation of my letter No.2519, dated the 21st March 1925, I am directed to state that the Government of India have been pleased to exclude the grant of Rs.150/- paid for the up-keep of certain Roman Catholic Chapels in the Civil & Military Station, Bangalore from the pro-forma account of the Mysore Assigned Tract for the year 1923-24. The Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore has therefore been asked to adjust Rs.150/- (Rupees one hundred and fifty only) also against the second instalment of the subsidy due on the 31st March 1925.

Please inform Comptroller at once in view of payt due before end of this month

Draft submitted
28/3.25 - Issue

28/3

31/3/25

Filing
3/

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

SECRETARY TO THE RESIDENT.

AIR

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
REGD. ON 28. MAR. 1925
Reg. No. 7231

Supdt. The main file has been sent to the Dewan's office for being printed with the original papers. May this too be sent in continuation?

BJ

13/2

App.F.VI-G1.]

SUBJECT

Section G. F.
File No. 80-23
Seria. No. 37

No. FL 5301 Encl

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, the 192

To The Comptroller in Mysore

Date of issue 28 3 · 25

5228/97.80.23-3582

Signed by Mr. M.A.I.

Sir, In continuation of this office No. FL. No. 23-3-25 I am directed to forward herewith for needful action copy of letter No. 2702 / 78-1923 dated the 27th instant from the Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, and to request ~~that the~~ ~~sum of Rs 507- being the Surplus Revenue of the C&M. Stn. Bangalore, for the year 1923-24 may be adjusted towards the 2nd instalment of the subsidy due on the 31st March 1925.~~

I have

28/3/25

For FL. Secretary.

file submitted to Dewan on 23·3·25 with No. 3526

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

over

15

3240

All communications
should be addressed
to the Secretary to the
Resident in Mysore,
Bangalore,
by designation and not by name.

OFFICE OF THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 7th April 1925

No: 2976 Encls. 1

78-1923.

GENL. & REV. SECTT.
7. APR. 1925
G. D. SECTION

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT
- 8 APR. 1925

Pol. 1151

A copy of the marginally* noted letter, ~~with enclosures~~ is forwarded with compliments, to the ~~Chief~~ Financial Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore., in continuation of letter No. 2702, dated the 27th March 1925 from this office.

*/Bag.15-3-2528, No. P & A dated the 30th March 1925, from the Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore.

Subd for perusal with connected papers. This will be filed with them

Major,
Secretary to the Resident.

PN

R. O. 106.—R. P. B.—7-1924.—2,000.

Letter No. P.& A/Bang.15-3-2528, dated the 30th March 1925, from the Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore, to the Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore.

I have the honour to invite a reference to para 2 of your endorsement 2700/78-1923 dated 27th March 1925 and to state that the sum of Rs.150/- excluded by the Government of India ~~for~~ the pro-forma account for 1923-24 has been adjusted to the credit of the Mysore Darbar in my accounts, for March 1925.

---:0:---

"COPY"

EGISTRAR, RESIDENT'S OFFICE.